

Remarks/Arguments

In response to the restriction requirement rendered in the above identified Office Action, Applicant elects with traverse the Group II invention, namely claims 3-5 and 7. The restriction is traversed on the grounds that claims 6 and 8-10 as presented in the preliminary amendment filed together with the application under 37U.S.C. 371 depend from claim 3 of the elected invention. Therefore, applicant respectfully request that claims 3-10 be considered for examination.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the restriction requirement in view of the claims as now amended. It is believed that the grouping of claims 6 and 8-10 into Group I was an inadvertent oversight. In any event, should the Examiner maintain the requirement, Applicant reserves the right to petition under 37 CFR 1.181.

Furthermore, Applicant reserves the right to file a divisional application under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 for the non-elected invention.

Please direct any remaining questions to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,
Irene HORSKA

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Abraham HersHKovitz', written over a horizontal line.

Abraham HersHKovitz
Registration No. 45,294

Henry J. Recla
Registration No. 60,103

HERSHKOVITZ & ASSOCIATES
2845 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

703-370-4800 (telephone)
703-370-4809 (facsimile)

patent@hershkovitz.net